



**Building Statewide Demand
For Self-Determination**

Using Fiscal Intermediary Services in Michigan: Self-Directing One's Services and Supports

ANGELA
MARTIN



PATRICIA
CARVER





JILL
GERRIE



Who is Michigan Partners for Freedom?



Michigan: A State Profile





What does the Fiscal Intermediary data tell us?

Data shows about 17% of individuals with I/DD who receive CMH services use a fiscal intermediary to manage payments for their community mental health supports.





How can it help?

- Supports the principle of “Authority”
- The FI is outside the CMHSP or PIHP
- Helps the person direct their services and supports

Data about Self-Determination



THE CENTER FOR URBAN STUDIES




WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY



CENTER FOR URBAN STUDIES

Percent of Individuals Receiving CMH Services with a Fiscal Intermediary (2010)




WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY




CENTER FOR URBAN STUDIES

Key

Percent of CMH cases that had a fiscal intermediary



Percent of Individuals Receiving CMH Services with a Fiscal Intermediary (2011)




WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY





CENTER FOR URBAN STUDIES

Key


Percent of CMH cases that had a fiscal intermediary



Percent of Individuals Receiving CMH Services with a Fiscal Intermediary (2012)



Percent of Individuals with DD Receiving CMH Services Who Had a Fiscal Intermediary (2013)




WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY



CENTER FOR URBAN STUDIES

Key

Percent of CMH cases that had a fiscal intermediary




What can be
learned from the
data?


17% of people with developmental disabilities who used CMH services had a FI




14 out of 46 CMHs had **less than 5%** of people using an FI




3 out of 46 CMHs had **more than 20%** of people using an FI



Individuals with DD Receiving CMH Services with a Fiscal Intermediary, 2010-2013



8 out of 46 CMHs had **less than 1%** of people using an FI



Fiscal Intermediary Utilization in PIHP Regions


WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY



CENTER FOR URBAN STUDIES


Region 1: North Care Network

Region 1- North Care Network




Region 2: Northern MI Regional Entity

Region 2- Northern MI Regional Entity




Region 3: Lakeshore Regional Entity

Region 3- Lakeshore Regional Entity




Region 4: Southwest MI Behavioral Health




Region 5: Mid-State Health Network

Region 5- Mid State Health Network




Region 6: CMH Partnership of Southeast MI

Region 6- CMH Partnership of Southeast MI




Region 7: Detroit Wayne Mental Health Authority

Region 7- Detroit Wayne Mental Health Authority




Region 8: Oakland CO CMH Authority

Region 8- Oakland CO CMH Authority




Region 9: Macomb CO CMH Services

Region 9- Macomb CO CMH Services



Region 10 PIHP

Region10- PIHP





What can be learned?

- People with disabilities: Experience of a local service provider in supporting the use of FI services
- System, Services Providers: Number of people receiving FI services
- Advocates: Communities struggling to advance the Self-Determination movement



Building Statewide Demand
For Self-Determination

Michigan Partners for Freedom

Website: <http://www.mifreedom.org/>

Michigan Partners for Freedom
c/o The Arc Michigan
1325 S. Washington
Lansing, MI 48912

Phone: +1 (517) 492-5029

Toll-free: +1 (800) 292-785, ext. 114

Email: jill.gerrie@arcmi.org



Find us on
Facebook