

## FASD Vancouver 2020 Travel Award Evaluation Criteria

**Trainee/Young Investigator Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Final Score (max 25 pts):** \_\_\_\_\_

When scoring an application, use the listed rankings as a guide. Reviewers are allowed to give any number from 0-10 in the first three sections, and 0-5 in the last section.

### Score 1: Description of Work

If presenting at the conference/event, the applicant must explain the work that he or she will be presenting. In the case of those not presenting, the applicant should explain in detail his or her academic, professional or research interests. Applicants must also provide an abstract of their presentation if applicable.

Criteria (If Presenting)	Score
The applicant provides an abstract if applicable, and the applicant summarizes the work to be presented in clear, precise terms that non-experts can understand. If an abstract is not applicable, then the applicant explains why, in addition to the previous items.	10
The applicant provides an abstract if applicable. The applicant summarizes the work to be presented, going beyond a mere summary of the abstract, but does not present the work in a way that non-experts can understand. If an abstract is not applicable, then the applicant explains why, in addition to the previous items.	8
The applicant provides an abstract if applicable. The applicant summarizes the work to be presented, yet this summary mostly replicates the contents of the abstract. [standard score]	6
The applicant only provides an abstract, with no summary of the work to be presented; <b>OR</b> , the applicant does not provide an abstract, makes no attempt to explain its absence, but does provide a clear explanation of the work to be presented.	4
The applicant does not provide an abstract, makes no attempt to explain its absence, but does provide a minimal explanation of the work to be presented.	2
No abstract submitted, and no explanation of student work.	0

Criteria (If Not Presenting)	Score
The applicant summarizes his or her academic, professional, or research interests in clear, precise terms that non-experts can understand.	10
The applicant summarizes his or her academic, professional, or research interests in overly specialized terms.	8
The applicant summarizes research interests and professional goals generally. [standard score]	6
The applicant summarizes research interests and professional goals, but summary is brief or incomplete.	4
Says nothing beyond going to the conference regarding their research and professional interests.	2
The applicant provides no description of interests.	0

## Score 2: Professional Development

The applicant must explain the importance of this conference/event specifically to his or her professional development. If the applicant is currently seeking a job, the applicant should be specific as to how this particular conference/event aids in the applicant's procurement of employment. The more detailed and the more extra components added to the application, the higher the score should be.

Criteria	Score
The applicant provides specific details, such as topics, individuals, concepts, and issues regarding his or her research and its relevance to the conference the applicant is attending. The applicant is specific as to this conference's relationship to future professional goals and current research interests.	10
The applicant provides more general information regarding topics, concepts and issues regarding his or her research and its relevance to the conference the applicant is attending. The applicant is less specific as to the conference's relationship to future professional goals and current research interests. [standard score]	7
The applicant provides a description of the conference/event but is vague in explaining the conference's relevance to his or her research. Relevance of the conference/event to the applicant's professional development is also unclear or under-developed.	4
The applicant does not state how the conference/event will benefit applicant's professional development.	0

## Score 3: Knowledge Translation (KT) / Dissemination Strategy

Applicant should present a clear and concise description of their KT/dissemination strategy and articulate the intended impact of these KT activities following the conference.

Criteria	Score
The applicant presents a clear/concise KT strategy, including a description of activities, examples of stakeholders that will be engaged in the KT activities, timeline for activities, as well as the overarching goals/outcomes of the KT activities.	5
The applicant presents general KT strategy, with some effort to describe activities, stakeholder engagement, timeline, and overarching goals.	3
The applicant presents KT strategy, but may offer vague details about the description, intended stakeholders, timeline, and/or goals.	1
The applicant demonstrates no attempts to describe a KT strategy.	0